IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) 8:06CR158
Plaintiff,) 0:00CR136)
vs.) DETENTION ORDER
DAVID FERNANDO RUBAL,) }
Defendant.	,
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing purs Reform Act odune 1, 2006, the Court orde pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	suant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail ers the above-named defendant detained
conditions will reasonably assure the	because it finds: ence that no condition or combination of appearance of the defendant as required. t no condition or combination of conditions
kilograms of marijuana in a minimum sentence of fix forty years imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a national content.	s Report, and includes the following: e offense charged: n with intent to distribute in excess of 100 violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) carries we years imprisonment and a maximum of violence.
may affect wheth X The defendant hat X The defendant hat X The defendant hat X The defendant of ties. Past conduct of the defendant hat X The defendant hat X The defendant hat The defendant hat X The defendant hat Court proceeding	of the defendant including: appears to have a mental condition which her the defendant will appear. as no family ties in the area. as no steady employment. as no substantial financial resources. not a long time resident of the community. does not have any significant community. the defendant: as a history relating to drug abuse. as a history relating to alcohol abuse. as a significant prior criminal record. has a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION (ORDER - Page 2
	Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
X (4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment, the defendant's criminal and drug abuse history, and the outstanding arrest warrant for the defendant for absconding felony probation of drug charges in Navajo County, Arizona.
	Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: June 1, 2006. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken

United States Magistrate Judge